

Panaji, 22nd January, 2009 (Magha 2, 1930)

SERIES II No. 43



OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Note:- There is one Extraordinary issue to the Official Gazette, Series II No. 42 dated 15-1-2009, namely, Extraordinary dated 15-1-2009 from pages 1023 to 1034 regarding Notifications from Department of Panchayati Raj and Community Development.

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Agriculture

Directorate of Agriculture

Order

No. 8/39/2007/D.Agr/05

Read: Order No. 8/39/2007/D.Agr/84 dated 23-03-2007.

Government is pleased to grant extension of ad hoc promotion to Shri Radhesh Kenavdekar, Assistant Engineer, Group 'B' Gazetted in the prerevised pay scale of Rs. 6,500-200-10,500 for a further period of six months with effect from 01-10-2008 to 31-03-2009, or till the post is filled on regular basis whichever is earlier on the same terms and conditions as indicated in the above stated Order.

This is issued with due concurrence of the Goa Public Service Commission vide their letter No. COM/II/11/2(3)/92-06/1899 dated 15-12-2008.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. S. P. Tendulkar, Director of Agriculture/ex officio Joint Secretary.

Tonca-Caranzalem, 13th January, 2009.

Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Order

No. 23-1-05-TS-Credit-12/RCS/2657

Read: 1) Show Cause Notice/Interim Order No. 14/50/00-TS dated 28-10-2008 from Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies, North Zone,

Mapusa-Goa calling upon the Managing Committee of Mandovi Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Pubdalik Nagar, Alto-Betim to submit their say regarding taking the society into liquidation.

- 2 Final Order No. 14/50/00-TS dated 27-11-2008 confirming the above referred Show Cause Notice/Interim order issued to Mandovi Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Pubdalik Nagar, Alto-Betim, Bardez-Goa.

By virtue of powers vested in me under Section 93(1) of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Government of Goa hereby appoint Shri D. R. Kamble, Sr. Auditor, Co-op. Societies, North Zone, Mapusa-Goa, as a Liquidator of the Mandovi Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Pundalik Nagar, Alto Betim, Bardez-Goa with immediate effect.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 13th January, 2009.

Office of the Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8(1) of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001, Sidhyeshwar Co-op. Housing Maintenance Society Ltd., Salunke Complex, Harvalem, Sankhali, Bicholim-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. GEN-10/NZ/Goa.

V. B. Devidas, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 10th December, 2008.

Certificate of Registration

Sidhyeshwar Co-op. Housing Maintenance Society Ltd., Salunke Complex, Harvalem, Sankhali, Bicholim-Goa has been registered on 10-12-2008 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-10/NZ/Goa. It is classified as "Housing Maintenance Society" in terms of Rule 8(1)(7) and sub-classified as "Co-operative

Housing Maintenance Society" under sub-rule 7 (d) of Rule 8 (1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

V.B. Devidas, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 10th December, 2008.

Notification

No. 5-1199-2008/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Heart Ease Manor Co-operative Housing Society Ltd.," Madel, Behind Colva Police Station, Colva, Salcete-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-697/South Goa/2008.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 5th December, 2008.

Certificate of Registration

"The Heart Ease Manor Co-operative Housing Society Ltd.," Madel, Behind Colva Police Station, Colva, Salcete-Goa has been registered on 5-12-2008 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-697/South Goa/2008 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 7-(b)-Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 5th December, 2008.

Notification

No. 5-1201-2008/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Kurtarkar Royale Co-operative Housing Society Ltd.," Near Durga Petrol Pump Fatorda, Margao-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-698/South Goa/2008.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 22nd December, 2008.

Certificate of Registration

"The Kurtarkar Royale Co-operative Housing Society Ltd.," Near Durga Petrol Pump Fatorda, Margao-Goa has been registered on 22-12-2008 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-698/South Goa/2008 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 7-(b)-Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 22nd December, 2008.

Notification

No. 5-1200-2008/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Springfield Classic Co-operative Housing Society Ltd.," Agali Gogol Margao, Margao-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-699/South Goa/2008.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 22nd December, 2008.

Certificate of Registration

"The Springfield Classic Co-operative Housing Society Ltd.," Agali Gogol Margao, Margao-Goa has been registered on 22-12-2008 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-699/South Goa/2008 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 7-(b)-Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 22nd December, 2008.

Notification

No. 5-1203-2009/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Maple Leaf Co-operative Housing Society Ltd.," Margao Panaji Road, Nuven, Salcete-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-700/South Goa/2009.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 7th January, 2009.

Certificate of Registration

"The Maple Leaf Co-operative Housing Society Ltd.," Margao Panaji Road, Nuven, Salcete-Goa has been registered on 7-1-2009 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-700/South Goa/2009 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 7-(b)-Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 7th January, 2009.

Department of Finance

Revenue & Control Division

Directorate of Accounts

Order

No. DA/Admn/45-6/08-09/TR-2819/119

The Government is pleased to order the transfer and posting of the following Assistant Accounts Officers

under Common Accounts Cadre as shown below with immediate effect on administrative grounds:-

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer	Present place of posting	Transferred and posted at
1	2	3	4
1	Shri Laximan P. Naik	Directorate of Accounts, Panaji	Directorate of Sports, Campal, Panaji.
2	Shri Pandurang C. Naik	Directorate of Sports, Campal, Panaji	Goa Dental College, Bambolim.
3	Shri Ashok Sawant	Goa Dental College, Bambolim	Directorate of Accounts, Panaji.

The Officer at Sr. No. 1 shall move first.

Wherever the transferees do not change their place of residence from old station to new, they will not be entitled for availing of joining time nor transfer TA as provided under CCS Rule.

On joining their new assignments, the officers shall send CTC/Joining Report to this Directorate immediately for records.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Rajan V. S. Kunkolienkar, Director of Accounts & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 13th January, 2009.

Department of Forest

Order

No. 4-2-2001-02/FOR(Part-I)/11

Read: 1) Order No. 4-2-2001-02/FOR(Part-I)/15 dated 27-5-2008.

2) Order No. 4-2-2001-02/FOR(Part-I) dated 21-7-2008.

Sanction of the Government is hereby conveyed for extension of ad hoc promotion of the following Assistant Conservator of Forests w.e.f. 1-12-2008 to 30-5-2009 or till the posts are filled on regular basis whichever is earlier.

1. Shri Ramesh N. Dessai.
2. Shri Kamu P. Naguesh.
3. Shri N. D. Naik.
4. Shri Anil A. Shetgaonkar.
5. Shri Vikas V. Dessai.

The Goa Public Service Commission has conveyed its concurrence vide its letter No. COM/II/11/16(1)/92-07/58 dated 8-1-2009.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Jessie Freitas, Under Secretary (Forests).

Porvorim, 13th January, 2009.

Department of Home

Foreigners & Citizenship Division

Notification

No. S. S(H)/Misc/2/2006/NRI/75

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 9(2) of the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965, the Governor of Goa hereby specify that the Secretary Incharge of NRI Affairs shall be the Appointing Authority in respect of Group 'C' and 'D' posts in the Office of Commissioner for NRI Affairs with immediate effect and until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Smt. **Maria J. R. Pires**, Under Secretary (Home).

Porvorim, 9th January, 2009.

Department of Labour

Order

No. 28/48/2006-IAB/34

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between M/s. Kadamba Transport Corporation Limited and its workman, Shri Sachin Bhomkar, represented by the Kadamba Kamgar Union, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal-I of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the action of M/s. Kadamba Transport Corporation Limited in not regularising the services of Shri Sachin Bhomkar, Conductor, Badge No. 11887 with effect from 16-12-2004 is legal and justified?
- (2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 7th January, 2009.

Order

No. 28/48/2006-LAB/34

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between M/s. Kadamba Transport Corporation Limited and its workman, Shri Santosh Haldankar, represented by the Kadamba Kangar Union, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal-I of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of M/s. Kadamba Transport Corporation Limited in not regularizing the services of Shri Santosh Haldankar, Conductor, Badge No. 11459, with effect from 16-12-2004 is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 7th January, 2009.

Notification

No. 28/1/2009-LAB/12

The following Award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I at Panaji-Goa on 13-11-2008 in reference No. IT/22/00 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 2nd January, 2009.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT-I AT PANAJI

(Before Smt. Anuja Prabhudessai, Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/22/00

Shri Kishore Mulgaonkar,
H. No. 190, Post Thivim,
Belavistawado, Sircaim,
Bardez, Goa.

... Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s. Sesa Goa Limited,
Sircaim,
Thivim,
Bardez, Goa.

... Employer/Party II

Workman/Party I present in person.

Employer/Party II represented by Adv. P. J. Karat.

A WARD

(Passed on this 13th day of November, 2008)

By order dated 14-2-2000, the Government of Goa in exercise of powers conferred by Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act has referred the dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication.

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Sesa Goa Limited, Sircaim, Thivim, Goa in terminating the services of Shri Kishore Mulgaonkar, driver, with effect from 30-3-1999 is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?"

2. On receipt of the reference IT/22/2000 was registered. Notices were issued to both parties, pursuant to which the Party I filed his claim statement at Exb. 6 and the Party II filed its written statement at Exb. 7. The rejoinder of the Party I is at Exb. 8.

3. The case of the Party I is that he was employed with the Party II as a heavy vehicle driver since the year 1980. The Party I has stated that he had refused to drive trucks with mechanical defects and for this reason the Party II had harbored grudge against him. The Party I has stated that on 29-7-1998 he was told to take vehicle bearing No. 2302 to Panaji to bring some goods. On checking the vehicle he found that the said vehicle had mechanical defects and hence he refused to drive the said vehicle. He had informed this to Engineer, Joshi and on verifying the said facts the vehicle was kept for repairs. The Party I has stated that thereafter he learnt that the vehicle was not at the workshop and that Rama Usgaonkar another worker of S.B.O. workshop had taken the said vehicle. The Party I has stated that on the same day at about 2.00 p.m. he asked Rama as to why he had taken the vehicle kept for repairs, Rama Usgaonkar told him that he was not the manager to question him and subsequently lodged a complaint against him. The Party I has further stated that taking undue advantage of his illiteracy the Party II took his signatures on blank papers and some written papers without explaining the contents. The Party I has further stated that he was neither furnished the copy of the charge sheet nor the contents of the same were explained. He has further stated that the statement of the complaint and the witnesses were also not recorded in his presence. He has stated that the enquiry was conducted in contravention of provisions in the certified standing orders and the principles of natural justice. The Party I has stated that his termination is illegal and snacks of

unfair labour practice. The Party I therefore sought reinstatement with full backwages and other consequential benefits.

4. The Party II has stated that on 4-8-98, Shri Rama Usgaonkar, one of the employees, complained to the General Manager that on 29-7-98 he had taken Pick Up No. 407 from PEU Section to deliver paint at Amona as per instructions of his superiors and when he went to return the vehicle the Party I abused him and threatened to kill him. The Party II has stated that memorandum dated 12-8-98 was issued to the Party I and the contents were explained to him. The Party I refused to accept the said memorandum and insisted that the management should first explain as to why Rama Usgaonkar of SBD was permitted to enter PEU when he was available in PEU. The Party I had left the office premises without accepting the said memo. The Party II has further stated that the charge sheet dated 19-8-1998 was issued for the acts of misconducts committed by him at the time of delivery of the memo dated 12-8-98. The contents of the said memo were explained to the Party I however the Party I refused to accept the same and also hurled abuses and threatened the management. On the same day at about 10.15 a.m. the Party I entered the office of the Sr. Manager and questioned the Head Clerk to get in writing from the Manager as to how Rama Usgaonkar could take the vehicle from PEU when he was available, when the Personnel Officer, Gondlekar tried to explain the facts to the Party I he started abusing Personnel Officer, Gondlekar. On account of this incident the Party I was issued another charge sheet/suspension dated 22-8-98. It is alleged that on 25-8-98 at about 7.50 a.m. the Party I stopped Jeep No. GA-01-N-1409 in which the company's Managers and officers were coming to report to work and that on seeing Janardhan the Party I tried to open the door of the jeep and threatened the said Janardhan. The Party II stated that a supplementary charge sheet dated 5-9-98 was issued to the Party I in respect of the misconducts. The Party II has stated that an enquiry was conducted in respect of all the charge sheets. The Party I had participated in the said enquiry and he was also represented in the enquiry by Shri Subhash Pednekar, the committee member of the union. The Party II has stated that the enquiry officer had submitted his findings wherein the misconduct was held to be proved. Vide show cause dated 28-10-98, the Party I was called upon to give his comments on the said report. By 4-11-98, the Party I admitted his misconducts and apologized for the same. By notice dated 14-11-98 the Party I was called upon to show cause on the proposed punishment. The Party I once again apologized and requested to be pardoned for the acts of misconduct committed by him and to give him an opportunity. The Party II has stated that when the matter was pending for consideration the Party I once again abused and assaulted its employee Namdev Gaonkar for which Namdev Gaonkar had lodged criminal complaint against the Party I on 25-3-99. The Party II thereafter, vide order dated 30-3-99, discharged the Party I from its services. The Party I was given a

cheque of Rs. 7822.58 towards one month's wages in lieu of notice. The Party II states that the said notice and the cheque was returned to the Party II with postal remarks "Unclaimed returned to sender". The Party I collected the said cheque in lieu of notice and gratuity and other dues on 26-4-99. The Party II has denied having contravened provisions of Industrial Disputes Act or the principles of natural justice. The Party II has also denied having indulged in unfair labour practices.

5. Based on the aforesaid pleadings following issues were framed.

- 1 Whether the Party I proves that termination of his services by the Party II with effect from 30-3-99 is by way of unfair labour practice ?
- 2 Whether the Party I proves that the action of the Party II in terminating his services with effect from 30-3-99 is illegal and unjustified ?
- 3 Whether the Party II proves that the charge sheets dated 19-8-1998, 22-8-98 and 5-9-98 were issued to the Party I for acts of misconduct committed by him and the Party I participated in the enquiry held against him in respect of the said charge sheets ?
- 4 Whether the charges of misconduct levelled against the Party I are proved to the satisfaction of the Tribunal by acceptable evidence ?
- 5 Whether the Party I is entitled to any relief ?
- 6 What Award ?

6. The matter was fixed for additional evidence on issue No. 3. On 24-10-2008 both the parties appeared before the Tribunal and stated that they have settled the matter amicably. The parties placed on record the consent terms at Exb. 18 and stated that they have settled the matter amicably as per the terms. They have prayed for drawing of the award as per consent terms. I have perused the terms which are duly signed by the parties and which are acceptable to the parties. The said terms are in the interest of the workman and as such I pass the following order.

ORDER

- 1 It is agreed between the parties that the workman, Mr. Kishore Mulgaonkar is properly relieved from the services of the Company and that he does not press for reinstatement in service of the company.
- 2 It is agreed by the workman that he has been paid all his dues due arising out of the termination and that he has no claim of whatsoever against the management of the company.
- 3 It is agreed between the parties that the workman shall be paid an amount of Rs. 3,05,204/- (Rupees Three lakhs five thousand two hundred and four only) towards his Provident Fund.

4 It is agreed by the workman that on payment of the above said amount the workman shall have no claim of whatsoever nature against the management of the company that his dispute is conclusively settled.

Sl/-
(A. Prabhudessai),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-
-cum-Labour Court-I.

Notification

No. 28/1/2009-IAB/01

The following Award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I at Panaji-Goa on 12-11-2008 in reference No. IT/26/94 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 2nd January, 2009.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT-I AT PANAJI

(Before Smt. Anuja Prabhudessai, Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/26/94

Shri Ramesh R. Bandekar,
Post, Camurlim, Maina,
Patto, Bardez, Goa.

... Workman/Party I

V/s

The Managing Partner,
M/s. Atmaram V. Dangui,
37, New Municipal Market,
Mapusa, Goa.

... Employer/Party II

Workman/Party I represented by Adv. P. J. Karat.

Employer/Party II represented by Adv. G. K. Sardessai.

A WARD

(Passed on this 12th day of November, 2008)

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Goa has referred the following dispute to the Tribunal for adjudication.

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Atmaram V. Dangui, Mapusa, Goa in terminating the services of Shri Ramesh R. Bandekar, Watch Repairer, with effect from 1-5-1992 is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?"

2. On receipt of the reference, IT/26/94 was registered. Notices were issued to both parties, pursuant to which the Party I filed its claim statement at Exb. 4 and the Party II filed its written statement at Exb. 6. The Party I filed his rejoinder at Exb. 7.

The case of the Party I is that he was employed with the Party II as a watch repairer since 1-10-1992. The Party I has stated that on 1-5-1992 when he had gone to the shop to attend his duties the Party II refused to allow him to attend duties. The Party I has stated that the Party II had terminated his duties orally w.e.f. 1-5-1992 without any cause or for no misconduct. The Party I has further stated that he was not offered any dues at the time of his termination. The Party I has stated that his termination is illegal, bad and malafide and that he is entitled for reinstatement in service with full backwages and continuity in service.

3. The Party II claimed that the Party I had resigned from service and that he was paid his legal dues of Rs. 4,846/- vide cheque No. 700189 drawn on United Commercial Bank, Mapusa. The Party II has therefore claimed that the Party I is not entitled to any relief and that the reference is null and void.

4. Based on the aforesaid pleadings following issues were framed:

1. Whether the Party I/workman proves that he was employed with the Party II as a watch repairer for 14 years and his last drawn wages was Rs. 1,300/- per month ?
2. Whether the Party I/workman proves that the alleged letter of resignation dated 22-12-1990 and the receipt dated 20-3-1991 are fabricated documents ?
3. Whether the Party I/workman proves that the Party II terminated his services w.e.f. 1-5-1992 which is illegal and unjustified ?
4. Whether the Party II proves that the Party I/workman voluntarily resigned from his services and received all his legal dues and hence the reference is null and void ?
5. Whether the Party I/workman is entitled to any relief ?
6. What Award or order ?

5. On 16-10-2008 the parties filed application at Exb. 22 stating that the matter has been amicably settled. The advocates presenting the parties along with the Party I remained present before the Tribunal on 21-10-2008 and stated that the terms mentioned in the application at Exb. 22 are agreeable to him. The terms at Exb. 22 are signed by the parties and are agreeable to the parties. In my considered view, the terms at Exb. 22 are in the interest of the workman. Hence I pass the following consent award as per the terms.

ORDER

A WARD

- 1 That in the present matter it is agreed between the parties to settle the dispute for lumpsum payment of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen thousand only) in full and final settlement of all his dues. Party I is having no claim of whatsoever nature against the Party II/employer including reinstatement.
- 2 The Party II deposit the cheque of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen thousand only) drawn in favour of Shri Ramesh R. Bandekar dated 16-10-2008 drawn on UCO Bank, Mapusa, Goa Branch bearing No. 722208.
- 3 The Party I agree to issue the receipt for the said amount to the Party II.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(A. Prabhudessai),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-
-cum-Labour Court-I.

Notification

No. 28/1/2009-LAB/05

The following Award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I at Panaji-Goa on 18-11-2008 in reference No. IT/55/96 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 2nd January, 2009.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT-I AT PANAJI

(Before Smt. Anuja Prabhudessai, Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/55/96

The General Secretary,
Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh,
Kamakshi Krupa,
Ground Floor,
Ponda, Goa.

... Workmen/Party I

V/s

M/s. Goa Steel Rolling
Mills Limited,
Bicholim, Goa.

... Employer/Party II

Workmen/Party I represented by Adv. P. Gaonkar.

Employer/Party II represented by Adv. A. V. Nigalye.

(Passed on this 18th day of November, 2008)

The Party I was an employee of the Party II as a turner w.e.f. 1-7-1987. The services of the Party I were terminated w.e.f. 27-7-91. The Party I raised an Industrial Dispute and pursuant to the failure report submitted by the Labour Commissioner the Government of Goa, vide order dated 16-10-1996 has referred the following dispute for adjudication of the Tribunal.

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Goa Steel Rolling Mills Limited, Bicholim in terminating the services of Shri Krishnanand Padwalkar, Turner, with effect from 27-7-1991 is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?"

2. On receipt of the said dispute reference IT/55/96 was registered. Notices were issued to both parties. The Party I has filed his claim statement at Exb. 3. The Party II has filed its written statement at Exb. 4. The Party I has stated that he was arrested by Karwar police on 26-11-1990 on mistaken identity and that he was in custody till 25-2-1991. The Party I had stated that he had informed the Party II that he was arrested on mistaken identity and on the basis of false charges. The Party I had stated that he was acquitted by the Karwar court and after release from prison he approached the Party II to resume duties. The Party I has stated that despite giving satisfactory explanation for his absence, the Party II did not allow him to resume duties and discharged him from service w.e.f. 27-7-91. The Party I has stated that the punishment awarded by the Party II is in violation of principles of natural justice and that the same is illegal. The Party I has therefore sought his reinstatement in service with full backwages and continuity in service.

3. The Party II has stated that the services of Party II were terminated for valid reasons and in accordance with provisions of law. The Party II has denied that the Party I was arrested on false charges or due to mistaken identity and that he has been acquitted by Karwar court in respect of the said charges. The Party II has further denied that the Party I had given satisfactory explanation for his absence. The Party II has stated that the services of Party I were discharged after holding a proper enquiry and after giving him sufficient opportunity to defend himself. The Party II has denied that the termination is illegal and further stated that the Party I is not entitled for any relief.

4. The following issues were framed.

- 1 Whether the Party I proves that the termination of his services by the Party II w.e.f. 27-7-1991 is illegal and unjustified ?
- 2 Whether the Party II proves that the charges of misconduct levelled against the Party I are proved in the domestic enquiry held against him ?

3 Whether the Party I is entitled to any relief ?

4 What Award ?

5. The parties had adduced evidence and when the matter was at the stage of argument, the parties filed an application at Exb. 11 stating that the matter has been amicably settled. The parties have prayed that the dispute should be disposed of as per terms filed by them. I have perused the terms at Exb. 11. The said terms are signed by both parties and the terms incorporated in the said application are acceptable to both parties. In my considered view the terms are in the interest of the workmen hence the terms are taken on record and the reference is disposed of as per the following terms.

ORDER

1. The Party II employer shall pay to the Party I workman an amount of Rs. 1,20,000/- (Rupees One lakh twenty thousand only) as full and final amicably settlement of all the claims against the Party II.
2. The Party I, in view of the monetary settlement as above, states that no further claim monetary or otherwise shall lie against the Party II employer as the matter is settled conclusively.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(A. Prabhudessai),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-
cum-Labour Court-I.

Notification

No. 28/1/2009-LAB/09

The following Award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I at Panaji-Goa on 13-11-2008 in reference No. IT/71/97 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 2nd January, 2009.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT-I AT PANAJI

(Before Smt. Anuja Prabhudessai, Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/71/97

Shri Nandev Bikaji Kambli,
R/o Bogda,
C/o Kerkar House,
Near Ganpat Temple,
Vasco da Gama, Goa.

... Workman/Party I

V/s

1 Vicar/President of Fabrica,
Igreja de St. Andrew Church,
Vasco da Gama, Goa.

2 Vicar/President of Fabrica,
Igreja de Benaulim,
John the Baptist Church,
Benaulim, Salcete, Goa.

... Employer/Party II

Workman/Party I represented by Adv. M. Fernandes.

Employer/Party II represented by Adv. P. J. Kanat.

A WARD

(Passed on this 13th day of November, 2008)

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Goa has referred the following dispute to the Tribunal for adjudication.

"(1) Whether the action of the Fabrica Igreja de Benaulim, Benaulim, Salcete, Goa in terminating the services of Shri Nandev B. Kambli with effect from 30-11-94 is legal and justified ?

(2) If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?"

2. On receipt of the reference IT/71/97 was registered. Notices were issued to both parties, pursuant to which the Party I filed his claim statement at Exb. 4 and the Party II filed its written statement at Exb. 6. The Party I filed his rejoinder at Exb. 11.

3. The Party I claimed that he was working as a watchman/security to commercial building Santa Pelagia at Vasco da Gama. The Party I stated that he was in continuous service of the Party II for about 20 years. The Party I has further stated that vide notice dated 29-11-1994, the Party II terminated his services w.e.f. 30-11-1994. The Party I has stated that the termination is illegal and without justification. The Party I has stated that at the time of termination he was paid monthly salary of Rs. 400/- for the month of November, 1994 and that the Party II has not paid any other dues as per the provisions of Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act. The Party I has further stated that Party II has employed another person as a watchman in his place and this is in contravention of provisions of Section 25H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The Party I has sought reinstatement in service with consequential benefits.

4. The Party II has stated that the reference is not maintainable. The Party II had also denied that the Party I is a workman. The Party II has stated that the Party I was working as a full time watchman during day time at Rose Matthias Chambers and that he was employed with Party II during night time. The Party II was not diligent in performing his duties. The Party II has stated that its premises were misused by the tenants due to negligence and absence from duties by Party I and as such the services of the Party I were terminated and he was called upon to collect his dues. The

Party II had denied that termination was illegal and unjustified. The Party II has also denied that the Party II is entitled to any benefits.

5. Based on the aforesaid pleading following issues were framed.

- 1 Whether the Party I proves that he was employed with the Party II as a watchman at the commercial building of the Party II known as "Santa Pelagia", Vasco da Gama, Goa ?
- 2 Whether the Party I proves that the termination of his service by the Party II w.e.f. 30-11-1994 is illegal and unjustified ?
- 3 Whether the Party I proves that the Party II has employed another person as watchman in his place thereby contravening the provisions of Sec. 25 H of the I. D. Act, 1947 ?
- 4 Whether the Party II prove that they are not "Industry", as defined under Sec. 2(J) of the I. D. Act, 1947 and hence reference is not maintainable ?
- 5 Whether the Party II prove that the Party I is not "a workman" as defined under Sec. 2(5) of the I. D. Act, 1947 and hence reference is not maintainable ?
- 6 Whether the Party II prove that the Party I is gainfully employed ?
- 7 Whether the Party II is entitled to any relief ?
- 8 What Award ?

6. During the pendency of the reference the Party I expired and the legal representatives of Party I were brought on record. The said legal representative appeared before the Tribunal on 22-9-2008 and stated that the matter has been amicably settled. They have filed application at Exb. 24 wherein the terms of the settlement are incorporated. I have perused the terms which are at Exb. 24. The said terms are duly signed by the legal representatives of the Party I and the representative of the Party II and their respective advocates. The said application at Exb. 24 indicates that the parties have arrived at a settlement and since these terms are acceptable to the parties and are in the interest of the legal representatives of the workman, I pass the following consent award.

ORDER

- 1 It is agreed between the parties that the management of Igreja de St. Andrew's Church and another, Party II shall pay to the legal heirs of the Party I an amount of Rs. 30,000/- (Rupees Thirty thousand only) in full and final settlement of all the claims of the Party I.
- 2 It is agreed between the parties that on payment of the said amount the Party I and his legal heirs shall have no further claims of whatsoever nature against the Party II.

3 It is agreed between the parties that the amount of Rs. 30,000/- (Rupees Thirty thousand only) shall be paid by cheque drawn in favour of Smt. Lillavati N. Kamble, widow and the other two claimants shall have no objection for the same.

4 It is agreed between the parties that the payment in Clause (1) above shall be made within 30 days of the making of the award in the matter.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(A. Prabhudessai),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-
-cum-Labour Court-I.

Department of Official Language and Public Grievance

Directorate of Official Language

Order

No. 5/10/DOL/Publications/2008-2009/1062

Government is pleased to constitute the Evaluation Committee for the "Publication Scheme, on the subjects - Science & Technology, Sociology, Finance etc.", consisting of the following persons:

- | | |
|--|-----------------------|
| 1 Secretary (Official Language) | ... Chairman. |
| 2 Dr. K. S. Bhat, Reader & Head of Department (English)
Goa University | ... Member. |
| 3 Dr. Chandralekha D'Souza, Reader & Head of Department (Konkani) Goa University | ... Member. |
| 4 Dr. S. M. Tatkodkar, Reader & Head of Department (Marathi) Goa University | ... Member. |
| 5 Director (Official Language) | ... Member Secretary. |

The terms of reference to the Evaluation Committee are as under:

- i To set the criteria for evaluation and evaluate the manuscript.
- ii To recommend the manuscript for publication.
- iii To decide on the appointment of subject-matter expert, if necessary.

Menino Peres, Director of Official Language.

Panaji, 12th January, 2009.

Department of Planning

Directorate of Planning, Statistics & Evaluation

Notification

No. DPSE/VI/ASI-STP/Tech (Part)/1758

Government of Goa is pleased to reconstitute the State Level Co-ordination Committee for smooth conduct of Annual Survey of Industries (ASI) in Goa as given below:

- | | |
|---|------------------------|
| 1 Secretary, Industry,
Government of Goa | - Chairman. |
| 2 Director, DPSE,
Government of Goa | - Member. |
| 3 Director, Industries, Trade &
Commerce, Government of Goa | - Member. |
| 4 Commissioner, Department
of Labour, Government of Goa | - Member |
| 5 Chief Inspector of Factories
and Boilers, Government of Goa | - Member. |
| 6 Dy. Director General, NSSO, FOD (SZ),
Bangalore, Government of India | - Member. |
| 7 Joint Director, NSSO (FOD),
State Capital Regional Office Head,
Panaji, Government of India | - Member
Secretary. |

The Committee may meet at least twice a year and more often if necessary. The Member Secretary with prior approval of the Chairman will take necessary steps to arrange meetings and other co-ordinating responsibilities. Any other official of the State Government or Central Government stationed in the State may be invited for the meeting with the prior approval of the Chairman.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anand Sherkhane, Director & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 13th January, 2009.

Department of Revenue**Order**

No. 14-29-2008/RD

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 198 of the Goa Land Revenue Code, 1968 (Act 9 of 1969) (hereinafter called the "said Code"), the Government of Goa hereby delegates the powers conferred on the Collector, under Sections 14(3) 33, 37, 40, 100, 103, 113, 114, 175 and 188 of the Code, to the Deputy Collector, Canacona, Sub-Division Canacona within the jurisdiction of Canacona Taluka of South Goa District.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Redkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 13th January, 2009.

Order

No. 14-29-2008/RD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (h) of Section 2 of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mundkars (Protection from Eviction) Act, 1975 (Act 1 of 1976) (hereinafter called the "said Act"), the Government of Goa is hereby pleased to appoint the Deputy Collector, Canacona, Sub-Division Canacona, to exercise and perform the powers and functions of a Collector under the provisions of the said Act within the jurisdiction of Canacona Taluka of South Goa District with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Redkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 13th January, 2009.

Order

No. 14-29-2008/RD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (4) of Section 2 of the Goa, Daman and Diu Agricultural Tenancy Act, 1964 (Act No. 7 of 1964) (hereinafter called the "said Act"), the Government of Goa is hereby pleased to appoint the Deputy Collector, Canacona, Sub-Division Canacona to perform the functions of the Collector under the provisions of the said Act within the jurisdiction of Canacona Taluka of South Goa District with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Redkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 13th January, 2009.

Order

No. 14-29-2008/RD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (7) of Section 2 of the Goa Land Revenue Code, 1968 (Act 9 of 1969) (hereinafter called the "said Code"), the Government of Goa is hereby pleased to appoint the Deputy Collector, Canacona, Sub-Division Canacona, to exercise and perform all or any of the powers and functions of a Collector under the provisions of Sections 32, 38, and 61 of the Code within the jurisdiction of Canacona Taluka of South Goa District with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Redkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 13th January, 2009.

Order

No. 26/2/98-RD(Part)

1. On the recommendations of the D.P.C. convened on 14-01-2009, the Governor of Goa is pleased to promote

on ad hoc basis, Shri P. S. N. Dessai, Supervisor in the Directorate of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji as Inspector of Surveys and Land Records (Group "B" Gazetted) in the pay scale of Rs. 5,500-175-9,000 with immediate effect and post him in the Office of Directorate of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji.

2. The above appointment shall be for a period of one year in the first instance or till he is regularized or superannuates, whichever is earlier.

3. The promotion is purely on ad hoc basis and does not confer any right on the officer for a regular promotion and that he may be reverted to his lower post at any time. The service rendered on ad hoc basis in the grade will not count for the purpose of seniority in that grade for eligibility for promotion to the next higher grade.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Redkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 16th January, 2009.

Notification

No. 23/2/2009-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for construction of road to Dadeshwar Temple at Narva Village Panchayat Sao Mathias, Tiswadi Taluka.

Now therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Deputy Collector (IA), North Goa District, Panaji-Goa to perform the functions

of a Collector, North Goa District, Panaji under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

- 1) The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
- 2) The Deputy Collector (IA), North Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
- 3) The Executive Engineer, WD II (Roads), PWD, Panaji-Goa.
- 4) The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Deputy Collector (IA), North Goa District, Panaji-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Tiswadi

Village: Narva

Survey No./ /Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
3/1 p O :	1. Felix Pereira Silveira.	1410.00
	H.O.A. Felix Silveira.	
	B. Dadeshwar Temple.	
	C. Felix Silveira.	
	H.O.D. Gopinath Bablo Bhonkar.	
46/3 p O :	1. Felix Silveira.	2200.00
	H.O.E. Pedro Souza.	
	F. Laxman Bamno Narvenkar.	
5 p O :	1. Felix Pereira Silveira.	1400.00
	H.O.A. Inacio D'Souza.	
	B. Chandrakant Mukund Kwikar.	
	A. 1. Pattries D'Souza.	
	H.O.D. Inacio D'Souza.	
7/1 p O :	1. Comunidade of Narva.	440.00
7/4 p O :	1. Simao Doming Fernandes.	140.00
	2. Luis Doming Fernandes.	
7/3 p O :	1. Rui Casseres.	100.00
7/2 p O :	1. Eugenia Antoniat Martin e Pereira.	370.00

Boundaries :

North : S. No. 31/1.

South : S. No. 7/2, 3, 4.

East : S. No. 3/1, 46/3, 5.

West : S. No. 3/1, 46/3, 5, Road.

Total: 6060

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Redkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 12th January, 2009.

Notification

No. 23/45/2007-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 23/45/2007-RD dated 01-01-2008 published on pages 1191 - 1192 of Series II No. 40 of the Official Gazette, Extraordinary dated 03-01-2008 and in two newspapers (1) "Herald" dated 03-01-2008 (2) "Sunaparant" dated 03-01-2008, it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that the land, specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz., Land Acquisition (additional area) for construction of road at Mirabag in front of Government Primary School to Mirabagwada in V. P. Sanvordem in Sanvordem Constituency.

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto is needed for the public purpose specified above (hereinafter referred to as "the said land").

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares, under Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government also appoints, under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector & SDO, Quepem, Quepem-Goa, to perform the functions of a Collector, for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land and directs him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the Office of the said, the Dy. Collector & SDO, Quepem, Quepem-Goa, till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Sanguem		Village: Comproi
Survey No./ /Sub-Div. No.	Name of the person believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
26/6-A (part)	O: Smt. Prema P. Naik.	84
Boundaries:		
North : S. No. 26/4.		
South : S. No. 26/4.		
East : S. No. 26/6-A.		
West : S. No. 26/4.		
		Total: 84

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Redkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 12th January, 2009.

Notification

No. 22/5/2008-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 22/5/2008-RD dated 28-03-2008 published on pages 1555 to 1556 of Series II No. 52 of the Official Gazette (Extraordinary) dated 28-03-2008 and in two newspapers (1) "Gomantak Times" dated 29-03-2008 (2) "Sunaparant" dated 29-03-2008, it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that the land, specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz., Land Acquisition for Residential-cum-Rehabilitation and Employment Centre for the disabled in Salcete Taluka.

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto is needed for the public purpose specified above (hereinafter referred to as "the said land").

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares, under Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government also appoints, under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector & SDO, Salcete, Margao-Goa, to perform the functions of a Collector, South Goa District, Margao-Goa for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land and directs him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the Office of the said, the Dy. Collector & SDO, Salcete, Margao-Goa, till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Salcete		City: Margao
P.T. Sheet No./ /Chalta No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
27 199	H: Comunidade de Margao. T: Luiza Concecao Dias.	6,381
Boundaries:		
North: P.T.S. No. 197 Chalta No. 39.		
South: Nala.		
East : P.T.S. No. 199 Chalta No. 26.		
West : P.T.S. No. 199 Chalta No. 28.		
		Total: 6,381

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Redkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 16th January, 2009.

Notification

No. 23/22/2008-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 23/22/2008-RD dated 27-05-2008 published on page 220 of Series II No. 9 of the Official Gazette (Extraordinary) dated 29-05-2008 and in two newspapers (1) "Gomantak Times" dated 29-05-2008 (2) "Goa Doot" dated 29-05-2008, it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that the land, specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz., Land Acquisition for construction of road at Gimmona (Phase-II) in V.P. Betqui Candola in Priol Constituency.

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto is needed for the public purpose specified above (hereinafter referred to as "the said land").

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares, under Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government also appoints, under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector (Rev.), North Goa District, Panaji-Goa to perform the functions of a Collector, for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land and directs him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the Office of the said, the Dy. Collector (Rev.), North Goa District, Panaji-Goa till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Ponda**Village:** Betqui

Survey No./ /Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3

152/0 (p)	O: Comunidade of Betqui. T: Jagannath Sagun Naik.	1930
150/0 (p)	O: Vithal Anant Kamat Haldankar.	2165
149/0 (p)	O: Vithal Anant Kamat Haldankar.	1909

Boundaries:

North : S. No. 152.

South : S. No. 149, 150.

East : S. No. 152.

West : S. No. 152, 147, 149, Nalla.

Total: 6004

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Redkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 12th January, 2009.

Department of Science, Technology & Environment**Order**

No. 7/4/98/STE/DIR/Part I/1589

In pursuance of the sub-rule (3) of Rule 5 of the Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000, the Government of Goa is pleased to direct the 'authority' specified in the Government Notification No. 7/4/98/STE/DIR/Part I/922 dated 04-12-2007, published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 37, dated 13-12-2007, to permit the use of loudspeakers or public address system during night hours (between 10.00 p.m. to 12.00 midnight) during the year 2009, on the days specified in the Schedule hereto, throughout the State of Goa.

"Terms and conditions for issue of permission.

1. The permission shall be granted only on a case-to-case basis, in response to a specific application made by the concerned Village Panchayat, Municipal Corporation/Municipal Council or an individual.
2. The provisions of the Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000 shall be strictly complied with.
3. The 'authority' concerned shall monitor the noise levels during the permitted festive occasion and take prompt remedial action in the event of any violation of the same and of above conditions."

The Government shall notify three more days involving 'cultural/religious' festive occasions for the aforesaid purpose, as and when required.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Cultural/Religious Festive Occasion	Date
1	2	3
1	Carnival (first day of Carnival)	22nd February, 2009
2	Holi	10th March, 2009
3	Easter Eve	11th April, 2009
4	Ganesh Chaturthi (2nd day of idol immersion)	24th August, 2009
5	Ganesh Chaturthi (5th day of idol immersion)	27th August, 2009
6	Ganesh Chaturthi (11th day of idol immersion)	2nd September, 2009
7	Navaratri (1st day of Navratri)	19th September, 2009
8	Navaratri (9th day of Navratri)	27th September, 2009

1	2	3
9	Diwali Eve	16th October, 2009
10.	Christmas Eve	24th December, 2009
11.	Christmas	25th December, 2009
12.	New Year's Eve	31st December, 2009

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Michael M. D'Souza, Director/ex officio Joint Secretary (SIE).

Saligao, 21st January, 2009.

Department of Social Welfare

Directorate of Social Welfare

Order

No. 13/6/2005-SWD/10873

Read: Order No. 13/6/2005-SWD dated 21-8-2007.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Clause 116 (3) of the Articles of Association of Goa State Scheduled Tribes Finance and Development Corporation Ltd., the Government of Goa in supersession of the order referred to above is pleased to re-constitute the Board of Directors of the Goa State Scheduled Tribes Finance and Development Corporation Ltd., and to appoint the following persons as Directors on the said Corporation with immediate effect until further orders:-

1	Shri R. M. Dhavalikar (Minister for Social Welfare)	. Chairman.
2	Adv. Babuso Gaonkar, Ex-MLA	. Vice-Chairman.
3	Mr. Yeshwant Gaude, AFC-KTC-Porvorim-Goa	. Director.
4	Mr. Kushali Velip, Morpiria, Quepem	. Director.
5	Mr. Prakash Velip, 71, Morpiria, Quepem	. Director.
6	Mr. Dinesh Gaude (Panch, Khandola Panchayat), Wadiwada, Khandola, Post-Marcel, Goa	. Director.
7	Mr. Conceicao Peixote, Aquem, Baixo, Salcete-Goa	. Director.
8	Mr. Joseph Vaz, Ilhas De Rachol, Salcete-Goa	. Director.
9	Mr. Naresh Gaude, Z. P. Bandora-Chaferan, Gaunem	. Director.
10.	Dr. Uday Gaonkar, Head Master, Holly Spirit, Quepem	. Director.

11.	The Managing Director, Goa State Scheduled Tribes Finance and Development Corporation Ltd.	. Director.
12.	Director of Social Welfare	. Director.
13.	Lead Bank Officers of State Bank of India, North/South	. Director.
14.	Representative of the National S. T. Finance and Development Corporation, New Delhi	. Director.

The non-official Directors shall be entitled for sitting allowance at the rate of Rs. 400/- as per the Government decision in force.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

N. B. Narvekar, ex officio Joint Secretary & Director of Social Welfare

Panaji, 20th January, 2009.

Department of Transport

Directorate of Transport

Order

No. D.Tpt/EST/277/2009/153

The following Assistant Directors of Transport are transferred with immediate effect on administrative grounds and in public interest:

Sr. No.	Name of the officer	Present posting	Office to which transferred
1	2	3	4
1	Shri Gurudas Narvekar	A.D.T., Quepem	A.D.T., Bicholim.
2	Shri Diganbar Savoiverenkar	A.D.T., Bicholim	A.D.T., Vasco.

The above Officers stand relieved from their present postings with immediate effect and shall not avail any joining period or any type of leave till they assume their new postings.

Shri Ivo Rodrigues, ADT, Ponda stands relieved of the additional charge of the office of the Asstt. Director of Transport, Vasco. However shall hold additional charge of the office of the Assistant Director of Transport, Quepem until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Arvind Loliyekar, Director of Transport and ex officio Joint Secretary (Tpt.).

Panaji, 20th January, 2009.

www.goagovt.nic.in/gazette.htm

GOVERNMENT PRINTING PRESS,
PANAJI-GOA.

PRICE-Rs. 14.00